Virtus International Journal of Research
Volume 1 Issue 1 (Oct-Dec 2026) | e-ISSN: Applied | Page No: 18-24

EDUCATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF HISTORICAL MONUMENTS IN TEACHING LOCAL HISTORY: A DOCUMENTARY STUDY OF BIDAR, KARNATAKA

Mahananda Swamy

Guru Nanak College of Education Department: History Education
Corresponding Author Email ID: Arpitaswamy12@gmail.com

Abstract-Historical monuments are valuable resources for connecting school History education with the material evidence of the past. However, their educational potential depends on how teachers interpret historical evidence and integrate it with curriculum objectives. This paper examines the educational significance of historical monuments in teaching local history, focusing on Bidar, Karnataka. The study adopts a qualitative documentary approach, drawing on curriculum frameworks, government heritage documentation, and selected scholarly literature. It develops an analytical framework based on historical evidence, contextual understanding, inquiry-based learning, local identity, and heritage conservation. Bidar Fort and the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan are considered as illustrative educational resources for exploring political history, architecture, educational institutions, and cultural interactions in the medieval Deccan. The paper argues that monuments can complement textbook-based instruction by enabling students to formulate questions, interpret material evidence, compare historical accounts, and connect regional history with broader historical developments. It also identifies challenges relating to accessibility, teacher preparation, historical interpretation, resource availability, and conservation. The study proposes a structured pedagogical model involving pre-visit preparation, guided observation, evidence-based discussion, and post-visit reflection. As a documentary study, it does not claim to measure student achievement or establish causal effects. It concludes that integrating local heritage into History teaching offers a promising pedagogical approach that merits further empirical investigation.

Index Terms-History Education, Local History, Historical Monuments, Bidar, Heritage-Based Learning, Experiential Learning, B.Ed. Pedagogy, Historical Evidence.

I. INTRODUCTION

History education enables learners to understand how human societies, political institutions, cultural practices, and economic relationships have developed over time. Beyond learning dates and events, historical study involves examining evidence, interpreting different perspectives, identifying continuity and change, and understanding relationships between the past and the present. These aims require teaching approaches that encourage students to investigate historical information rather than merely memorize textbook content.

In many classroom settings, textbooks, lectures, written notes, and examinations remain important components of History teaching. These methods provide organized information, but students may find it difficult to visualize historical environments or understand how historians develop interpretations from available evidence. Supplementary educational resources can help address this challenge.

Historical monuments offer opportunities to connect classroom learning with physical structures and material traces of earlier societies. Their architectural features, inscriptions, spatial arrangements, and documented histories can provide starting points for questions about political authority, social organization, technology, cultural interaction, and everyday life. However, a monument does not independently explain its entire history. Its educational use requires contextual information, reliable documentation, and careful interpretation.

Local history provides a particularly relevant context for such learning. By studying historical sites in their own region, students can connect broad historical developments with familiar geographical surroundings. Bidar, situated in northern Karnataka, provides a useful case for examining this approach. The city contains monuments associated with different historical periods,

including the Bahmani and Barid Shahi periods. Its heritage includes fortifications, palaces, religious structures, tombs, and educational architecture.

The National Curriculum Framework for School Education 2023 identifies the understanding and analysis of historical events through different types of sources as important curricular goals (National Council of Educational Research and Training [NCERT], 2023). This emphasis supports the consideration of local monuments as supplementary resources for historical inquiry.

Against this background, the present paper examines the educational significance of historical monuments in teaching local history, using Bidar as a documentary case study. Its focus is not simply on the historical importance of monuments but on how B.Ed. student-teachers can transform heritage resources into purposeful learning experiences.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

History teaching may become overly dependent on the reproduction of textbook information when learners have limited opportunities to examine historical sources or relate historical events to their surroundings. This can restrict opportunities to practice observation, questioning, evidence-based reasoning, and contextual interpretation.

Historical monuments could provide additional resources for addressing these limitations. Nevertheless, the presence of monuments in a region does not automatically result in meaningful educational experiences. Their use requires teachers to identify appropriate curriculum connections, establish learning objectives, select reliable historical information, and develop activities that encourage critical engagement.

The problem addressed in this paper is therefore the pedagogical integration of local historical monuments into school History education, particularly in the context of Bidar, Karnataka.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To examine the educational significance of historical monuments in History teaching.
2. To explore the relevance of Bidar's historical heritage as a supplementary resource for teaching local history.
3. To explain how monument-based learning can support historical inquiry and contextual understanding.
4. To identify the practical and interpretative challenges involved in heritage-based teaching.
5. To propose pedagogical strategies for integrating historical monuments into school History lessons and B.Ed. teacher preparation.

IV. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What educational opportunities can historical monuments provide for teaching local history?
2. How can selected monuments in Bidar be connected with school History curriculum objectives?
3. What teaching strategies can help students interpret monuments as sources of historical evidence?
4. What limitations should teachers consider when incorporating heritage resources into History education?

V. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

5.1 Curriculum Framework and Historical Inquiry

The National Curriculum Framework for School Education 2023 establishes curricular goals related to understanding historical developments, analysing events and processes through different sources, and recognising continuity and change across historical periods (NCERT, 2023). These objectives suggest that History teaching should provide opportunities for learners to work with evidence and develop reasoned interpretations.

Although the framework does not prescribe one universal method for teaching local monuments, its emphasis on historical sources provides a curricular basis for considering material heritage as a supplementary teaching resource.

5.2 Heritage Documentation and Local History

The Government of Karnataka's Bidar district administration documents monuments associated with multiple historical periods and identifies Bidar Fort and the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan among the region's significant heritage structures (District Administration, Bidar, n.d.-a).

UNESCO's tentative-list documentation on the monuments and forts of the Deccan Sultanate describes the significance of Bahmani and Barid Shahi heritage in Bidar. It identifies Bidar Fort, the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan, and tomb complexes among the important components of this heritage landscape (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.).

These sources are relevant to History education because they provide documented information that can support lesson planning, the selection of visual materials, and the preparation of observation activities. Official heritage descriptions, however, should be supplemented with appropriate scholarly works when teachers investigate complex or disputed historical interpretations.

5.3 Historical Monuments as Educational Resources

A historical monument can be treated as a material source that raises questions about its construction, function, architectural characteristics, historical setting, and subsequent changes. Such questions can encourage students to distinguish direct observations from explanations derived from documentary evidence.

For example, students observing a fortification can describe its physical features. They can then investigate its possible defensive functions using historical documentation. The distinction between observation and interpretation is important because architectural features may support several explanations, and conclusions should not be based on appearance alone.

5.4 Research Gap and Contribution of the Present Study

The documentary sources considered here establish the historical significance of Bidar's heritage and provide a curriculum-based rationale for teaching through evidence. However, these sources alone do not establish whether monument-based activities improve student achievement in a particular school or educational setting.

The contribution of the present paper is therefore a conceptual and pedagogical synthesis: it connects documented local heritage with curriculum objectives and proposes a structured framework for its educational use. It does not claim to be an exhaustive systematic review or to establish that the proposed framework has already been empirically validated.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

6.1 Research Design

The paper adopts a qualitative, descriptive, and documentary research design. It examines selected curriculum and heritage documents to develop an educational interpretation of local monuments as resources for History teaching.

6.2 Sources of Data

The documentary base comprises:

- The National Curriculum Framework for School Education 2023.
- Official heritage descriptions published by the Bidar district administration.
- UNESCO World Heritage Centre documentation concerning the monuments and forts of the Deccan Sultanate.
- Selected scholarly literature relevant to the historical and educational interpretation of monuments.

6.3 Selection of the Case

Bidar was selected as the case because its documented heritage provides examples of fortification, architectural traditions, political history, and historical educational institutions. Bidar Fort and the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan are used as illustrative cases because their documented characteristics allow connections to be made between historical content and classroom learning objectives.

6.4 Method of Analysis

The documents were considered through five analytical themes:

1. Historical evidence and source interpretation.
2. Contextual understanding of local history.
3. Inquiry-based and experiential learning.
4. Cultural awareness and heritage conservation.
5. Practical challenges in classroom implementation.

The analysis is interpretative rather than statistical. It does not involve interviews, questionnaires, field observations, or experimental measurements. Consequently, the paper presents pedagogical possibilities and recommendations rather than measured educational outcomes.

VII. EDUCATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF HISTORICAL MONUMENTS

7.1 Connecting Textbook Knowledge with Material Evidence

Historical monuments can help learners relate written accounts to physical structures. Textbook descriptions of forts, palaces, or educational institutions can appear abstract when students have no visual or contextual reference. A photograph, architectural plan, documented description, or supervised heritage visit can provide an additional point of reference. Students may identify gateways, walls, courtyards, decorative features, or the arrangement of buildings and compare their observations with information in historical sources. Such activities encourage students to ask what evidence supports an interpretation. They also help teachers distinguish between what students can directly observe and what must be established through historical research.

7.2 Developing Historical Inquiry and Critical Thinking

Historical inquiry begins with questions. A monument can generate questions about who commissioned it, what purposes it served, which materials were used, how its design relates to its historical context, and what changes it experienced over time. Teachers can guide students to compare official descriptions with textbook accounts and selected scholarly sources. Students can identify similarities, differences, gaps, and claims requiring further verification. This method encourages reasoned interpretation rather than the uncritical acceptance of a single description. It also demonstrates that historical knowledge is constructed through the examination and evaluation of evidence.

7.3 Making Local History More Meaningful

Local history connects regional places with wider historical processes. Students studying monuments in Bidar can explore the region's place in the political and cultural history of the Deccan. This approach can help learners understand that national and regional histories are interconnected. A lesson on medieval political institutions, for example, can include a local case to illustrate how authority, architecture, education, and urban development were expressed in a particular setting. Local examples should not replace the broader curriculum. Instead, they can provide concrete illustrations that help learners interpret historical concepts in context.

7.4 Supporting Experiential and Visual Learning

A supervised visit can offer opportunities for direct observation, sketching, note-taking, and guided discussion. Students may record architectural features and later compare their observations with historical descriptions. When visits are not feasible, teachers can use photographs, maps, virtual resources, and documentary material. These alternatives allow heritage-based activities to be adapted to classroom time, student needs, and available resources. The educational value of such activities depends on their design. A visit without preparation or follow-up may remain primarily recreational. Learning objectives, guiding questions, and reflective tasks help establish a clear connection between the experience and the curriculum.

7.5 Encouraging Cultural Awareness and Conservation

Historical monuments provide opportunities to discuss the preservation of material heritage and the responsibilities associated with public historical sites. Students can examine how conservation protects evidence of earlier societies and why monuments may be vulnerable to neglect, environmental conditions, inappropriate use, or physical damage. Teachers can also discuss how heritage interpretation should be supported by evidence and presented with respect for historical complexity. Cultural awareness should not be reduced to pride in a single community or historical period. An educational approach should recognize the diversity of historical experiences and encourage respectful discussion of different traditions and interpretations.

VIII. BIDAR'S HERITAGE AS A RESOURCE FOR HISTORY TEACHING

8.1 Bidar Fort: Political History and Urban Organization

Bidar Fort provides a possible resource for teaching medieval Deccan history, fortification, political authority, and urban organization. Official district documentation records the fort's historical association with the Bahmani period and describes its architectural features (District Administration, Bidar, n.d.-b).

A teacher can connect this material with lessons on the development of regional kingdoms, defensive architecture, and the relationship between fortified political centres and surrounding settlements. Students may examine a map or photograph of the fort and identify its principal structural features. They can then investigate why such features may have been important in the historical setting, using reliable documentary sources. This activity can develop the ability to connect material evidence with historical context while avoiding unsupported conclusions based solely on visual appearance.

8.2 The Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan: History of Education and Architecture

The Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan offers a distinctive opportunity to connect History with the history of education. Official heritage documentation describes the structure as an important educational institution associated with Bidar's medieval history (District Administration, Bidar, n.d.-a).

The site can be used to discuss the organization of educational institutions, the relationship between learning and political patronage, and the architectural spaces associated with teaching and scholarship. Teachers can compare documented information about the madrasa with the organization of contemporary educational institutions. Such comparisons should identify both similarities and differences rather than assume that historical and modern institutions functioned in identical ways. The example also illustrates how local heritage can connect political, architectural, intellectual, and educational history within a single lesson.

8.3 Connecting Regional Heritage with Wider History

Bidar's monuments can support the study of historical interactions across the Deccan and beyond. UNESCO's tentative-list documentation identifies the architectural and historical significance of the Bahmani and Barid Shahi monuments within the wider heritage of the Deccan Sultanate (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.).

Teachers can use this context to discuss regional political developments, architectural traditions, and cultural exchange. Students can compare selected features across historical structures and investigate how scholars interpret their origins and influences. Such teaching should avoid treating architectural styles as proof of a simple or uniform cultural identity. Interpretations should draw on historical documentation and acknowledge the complexity of artistic and cultural interactions.

IX. A PROPOSED PEDAGOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR B.ED. STUDENT-TEACHERS

The following four-stage framework is proposed for integrating local monuments into History teaching. It is a recommendation developed from the documentary analysis, not a previously tested intervention.

Stage 1: Preparation Before the Visit

The teacher identifies a curriculum-linked topic and defines learning objectives. Students receive a short introduction to the monument, its historical context, and the questions they will investigate. A worksheet may ask what period is associated with the monument, which features can be directly observed, what information must be obtained from historical sources, and what questions remain unanswered. This preparation helps students approach the site with a clear purpose.

Stage 2: Guided Observation

During a supervised visit, students observe selected architectural features and record descriptions. They may prepare sketches or notes where permitted and use approved interpretive materials. The teacher encourages students to separate observations from explanations. Students should not be expected to infer a structure's complete history from its appearance alone. Where a physical visit is impractical, this stage can be conducted through photographs, maps, documented descriptions, or virtual resources.

Stage 3: Evidence-Based Classroom Discussion

After observation, students compare their notes with textbooks and reliable historical documentation. Group discussions can focus on the function of architectural features, the historical context of construction, and the limitations of the available evidence. Teachers can ask students to explain which sources support their conclusions and identify questions that require further investigation.

Stage 4: Reflection and Assessment

Students prepare a short report, timeline, annotated map, presentation, or reflective essay. Assessment focuses on the accuracy of historical information, the use of evidence, the quality of reasoning, and the ability to communicate findings. The teacher evaluates learning through the submitted work rather than assuming that a visit automatically produces improved historical understanding.

X. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS IN IMPLEMENTATION

10.1 Financial and Accessibility Constraints

Transportation, entry arrangements, accessibility requirements, and supervision may limit the feasibility of educational visits. Schools should consider local alternatives and use classroom resources where travel is unsuitable.

10.2 Time and Curriculum Requirements

Teachers must balance heritage activities with prescribed curriculum content and assessment schedules. Short, focused activities connected to specific learning objectives may be more practical than lengthy excursions.

10.3 Teacher Preparation

Effective heritage-based teaching requires familiarity with the site, appropriate historical sources, and inquiry-based lesson design. B.Ed. programmes can support this by including training in resource selection, worksheet preparation, and evidence-based historical interpretation.

10.4 Reliability of Historical Interpretation

Monuments can be associated with popular stories, contested claims, or simplified historical narratives. Teachers should distinguish documented evidence from speculation and consult credible sources before presenting historical explanations.

10.5 Conservation and Student Safety

Visits require appropriate permissions, supervision, and respect for site regulations. Activities should not damage historical structures or interfere with their preservation.

10.6 Limitations of the Present Study

The present paper is based on documentary analysis and conceptual synthesis. It does not include original field data or direct observation of teaching practices. Its proposed framework therefore requires further examination in real educational settings. In addition, the selected official heritage sources are useful for establishing basic historical and architectural context, but they do not constitute a comprehensive scholarly history of Bidar. Further research should incorporate specialist historical studies and relevant archaeological publications.

XI. EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS FOR B.ED. PROGRAMMES

The findings of the documentary analysis suggest several implications for teacher education. First, B.Ed. student-teachers can be encouraged to prepare lesson plans that integrate local examples with prescribed History content. This can develop their ability to select teaching resources according to curriculum objectives.

Second, teacher educators can introduce exercises in which student-teachers analyze photographs, maps, architectural descriptions, and historical documents. Such exercises can strengthen source evaluation and lesson-planning skills. Third, local heritage activities can be used to develop interdisciplinary teaching materials linking History with Geography, Art, Civics, and environmental education.

Fourth, assessment methods should reward the interpretation of evidence, historical reasoning, and accurate communication rather than simple description or memorization. Finally, teacher education institutions can encourage student-teachers to identify accessible local resources and design alternatives for learners who cannot participate in physical visits.

XII. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. History teachers should identify local monuments relevant to the school curriculum and develop clear learning objectives for their use.
2. B.Ed. programmes should include practical training in heritage-based lesson planning and historical source interpretation.
3. Schools should combine textbooks with maps, photographs, documentary resources, and supervised visits where feasible.
4. Teachers should use structured worksheets to help students distinguish direct observation from historical interpretation.
5. Historical explanations should be checked against reliable documentary and scholarly sources.
6. Heritage activities should incorporate student safety, accessibility, and conservation requirements.
7. Future empirical research should examine how specific monument-based teaching activities affect students' historical reasoning, engagement, and understanding.

XIII. SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Future studies could examine the implementation of this framework in selected secondary schools in Bidar. A classroom-based study might compare a conventional lesson with a carefully designed heritage-based lesson using appropriate learning assessments. Other research could investigate teachers' preparedness to use local heritage, students' understanding of historical evidence, or differences between physical visits and digital heritage resources.

Such studies should define their samples and instruments clearly, obtain necessary permissions, and report findings accurately. They would provide evidence about the educational effectiveness of particular approaches that the present documentary study cannot establish.

XIV. CONCLUSION

Historical monuments can serve as valuable supplementary resources for teaching local history when they are integrated into purposeful, evidence-based learning activities. Their educational significance lies not only in their architectural or historical importance but also in the questions they enable students to investigate about the past.

Bidar provides a relevant regional context for exploring this approach. Bidar Fort can support lessons on political history, fortification, and urban organization, while the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan offers opportunities to examine the history of education, architecture, and intellectual life. These examples demonstrate how local heritage can connect regional historical developments with broader curricular themes.

For B.Ed. student-teachers, the central pedagogical responsibility is to transform monuments from passive objects of observation into resources for inquiry, interpretation, and reflection. This requires reliable documentation, curriculum alignment, thoughtful activity design, and sensitivity to historical complexity.

The present paper provides a documentary and conceptual framework for such integration. It does not claim that monument-based teaching has been empirically proven to improve achievement in Bidar. Instead, it establishes a rationale and a set of practical recommendations that can inform future classroom research and teacher preparation. Integrating local heritage into History education may therefore contribute to more contextual and inquiry-oriented teaching, provided that its implementation is carefully planned and its educational outcomes are examined through further research.

REFERENCES

[1]. District Administration, Bidar. (n.d.-a). Historical monuments. Government of Karnataka. https://bidar.nic.in/en/adventures/
[2]. District Administration, Bidar. (n.d.-b). Bidar Fort. Government of Karnataka. https://bidar.nic.in/en/tourist-place/bidar-fort/
[3]. National Council of Educational Research and Training. (2023). National curriculum framework for school education 2023. Ministry of Education, Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/ncf_2023.pdf
[4]. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). Monuments and forts of the Deccan Sultanate. Tentative Lists. https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/5887/